COMMUNICATION

Designing and Implementing an Interfaculty Elective "Sustainable Development" Course at a University: Concepts, Developments and Lessons Learned

Franz Rauch* (D)

Alpen-Adria-University Klagenfurt, Austria

Franz.Rauch@aau.at

Wilfried Elmenreich

Alpen-Adria-University Klagenfurt, Austria

Renate Hübner (1)



Alpen-Adria-University Klagenfurt, Austria

Michael Jungmeier (D)



E.C.O. Institute for Ecology, Klagenfurt, Austria

Ema Gracner

Alpen-Adria-University Klagenfurt, Austria

Robert G. Sposato (D)



Alpen-Adria-University Klagenfurt, Austria

This paper describes the case of a university course addressing Sustainable Development (SD). This interdisciplinary and interfaculty elective course is meant to serve the purpose of teaching sustainability. At the same time, it is also intended to serve as an instrument for the implementation of sustainability at the University of Klagenfurt in Austria. The paper describes the conception and implementation of an interdisciplinary elective as a first appropriate step to implement SD at the University of Klagenfurt across disciplinary and structural barriers. Furthermore, the paper presents reflections of the course based on a series of interviews with the leading teaching team as well as the team's ongoing reflections. As it turns out: The major challenge is that a system based on individual freedom in research and teaching needs to intervene within its own system to create and initiate a new development.

Keywords: higher education, sustainable development, intervention, dilemmas

*Received 22 March 2021 *Accepted 24 May 2021

Sustainable Development and Education

In our understanding, SD may be regarded as a regulative idea (Kant, 1787/1956). Such thoughts do not determine an objective but serve as heuristic structures for reflection, thus providing direction to research and learning processes. In the context of SD, this implies that the contradictions, dilemmas, and conflicting goals accompanied by the implementation of this vision need to be constantly re-negotiated in a process of discourse between stakeholders in every concrete situation (Minsch, 2004).

Despite the daunting nature of these challenges, they must equally be understood as sources of considerable potential to enhance learning and innovative developments in education (Rauch, 2015). It thus becomes evident that Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) is faced with challenges that go far beyond past educational requirements, as the sustainability concept is described by certain challenges that demand particular attention in educational processes. These are: (1) Normativity without becoming ideological, (2) Orientation towards the future without specifying the future and (3) Design orientation without lapsing into actionism.

Current discussions around ESD in Austria focus on the notions of SD and the resulting challenges for education (Hübner et al., 2020; Rauch & Steiner, 2013). Multiple current international United Nations programmes, the Sustainable Development Goals (especially goal 4 "for Quality Education") (UN, 2015; UNESCO, 2017) and the 2015 UNESCO Global Action Programme on ESD, for example, include the aim to achieve sustainable goals through educational initiatives (Fischer et al., 2015). These initiatives thus serve as primary points of orientation for further development of the landscape of ESD in universities, too and must therefore be considered as essential aspects in navigating the organisation and implementation of an interfaculty elective course on SD.

A central target of SDG 4 is to develop and enhance abilities necessary for the transformation of individuals, organisations and the society towards SD (see target 4.7). ESD must no longer resort to teaching only but must strive to trigger changes in the institution as well (Hübner et al., 2014). This question of inducing transformative processes can be understood as a connection to the theoretical landscape of discourse on transformative learning. Transformative learning is a critical self-reflecting process, which is acknowledged as the shift of one's perspective above internalised presumptions (Mezirow, 1990; Hoggan et al., 2017). Within the concept of transformative learning, two approaches have emerged, one with the focus on individual perspectives and the second with interest in collective awareness and emancipation (Singer-Brodowski, 2016). An important result of collective transformative learning is the element of social action, with the possibility of it leading to change (Meijuni 2017). Transformative learning, therefore, asks for changing the hindering cultures and structures as well.

Aporetic conflicts as the core concept

What should be preserved and what should change is the standard question of engaging in realising SD, pointing out that changes usually open up conflicting goals, dilemmata and aporetic conflicts. Therefore a theoretical core element of the elective (and the related certificate and extension curricula) are aporetic conflicts. Ossimitz and Lapp (2006) describe the concept of aporetic conflicts in the context of system theory and, subsequently, with SD. An aporetic constellation is characterised by three conditions that must be fulfilled simultaneously: (1) There are two conflicting positions (2) both are valid (3) they are interdependent. In addition, aporias are quite frequent and profound. For instance, many socially difficult situations, which are considered hopeless, are revealed to be based on aporetic constellations at a closer look. Rauch (2004) and Hübner (2012) have pointed out contradictions as essential learning and shaping opportunities.

Additionally, SD is to be regarded as an ongoing intervention into society. It does not offer ready solutions or right answers but has an orientating function; therefore, it contains a normative claim. As such, the concept of SD represents an instrument for a community, an organisation or society as a whole to further develop its values, which (should) regulate social and economic life, the management of emissions and resources with regard to SD, and influence how to deal with uncertainty and conflicts always related to transformation processes (Jungmeier et al., 2016).

An interfaculty and interdisciplinary approach

The development of the elective started with personal contacts in all faculties and on a very open draft of what the elective could look like with different alternatives. Additionally, interested scientists of all faculties were identified and invited to further develop the elective and – if possible – to commit as a member of the teaching team. In the end, the teaching team included eight representatives of the four faculties (Cultural Sciences, Business Administration & Economics, Technical Sciences, and Interdisciplinary Research and Education).

The course was primarily designed for master's students since an interdisciplinary reflection of sustainability topics requires basic knowledge of a discipline (Huebner et al., 2014). The elective with scholars/lecturers from many disciplines was conceived to support the evolvement of a teaching and learning community. This crossover from different disciplines with both students and lecturers/scholars creates an informal network for sustainability in the university and the region at the same time. (Hübner et al., 2014). Besides having a multidisciplinary teaching team, the course module's interdisciplinary aspect is implemented by requesting students from different disciplines to analyze, synthesize, and harmonize inputs from their disciplines into a coherent result. In a follow-up lecture practice, partners are involved, allowing for the integration of non-academic knowledge into a transdisciplinary outcome.

In comparison to 'traditional' university classes, additional competences have to be taught, such as the competence to critically question our modern information society and/or social

competences such as self-esteem, self-determination, individual initiative, and participation competence. Furthermore, the capability of handling different concepts and contradictions and managing conflicts are seen to be important competences when it comes to SD.

Basic contents and design elements of the elective

Due to the wide diversity of topics, it is important to have a clear set of issues that are considered necessary basics for teaching and understanding sustainable development. The basic contents of the elective "Sustainable Development" involve inter alia facets and history of SD, sustainable systems and growth, coping with contradictions, social conditions, communication, learning and education (Hübner et al., 2014) (Table 1).

These contents require inter- and transdisciplinary approaches. Sustainable teaching stimulates orientation knowledge and systems knowledge (the former offers orientation in a modern world undergoing increasing differentiation), providing inspiration for innovation and exploration (Schneidewind, 2009).

Students have to fulfill different tasks that support the mutual learning process. By discussing their ideas with the lecturers and partners from working practice (industrial and public management, NGOs, etc.), the students identify research questions and methodology, write and discuss text reflections, organize and conduct small research projects in groups, and this work is then followed up by plenary presentations. In addition, students work on selected topics in small groups to discuss sustainability from ecological, economic, technical, geographical, juridical, psychological, sociological, and educational points of view with the aim of working out contradictions and consistent aspects that are important for all students of the course. The results of these group projects have to be documented and prepared to inform other students about the essential outcomes and to support the contribution of further scientific or didactic discourse on the subject.

Lessons learned through designing and implementing the interfaculty elective

After the course was held for the first time, an external professional was commissioned to evaluate interviews with the core teaching team. The interview guide covered the process of the development of the course as well as the experiences of the interviewees during the first implementation phase. The six interviews were transcribed and categorised using the method of qualitative content analysis (Mayring, 2014). The findings were reported back to the development team. Based on these data and ongoing reflections of the teaching team, the following lessons learned could be extracted (Huebner et al., 2014):

The contradiction of hierarchy and innovations - The teaching team as multipliers Finding an approach for transcending faculty borders in order to organise a collective process is an invidious, wicked problem. On the one hand, a basic contradiction between an organisation and creative individuals appears to be the norm. On the other hand, we know from organisation

Table 1. Overview of the structure and the didactic concept of the elective "Sustainable Development" (Hübner et al., 2014).

Development	(, ,		
Brief description	students of a history of th identified. M applied by st Different cor cultural, integ scientific an approaching The elective starting in th	all faculties. Students shate concepts. Dilemmas, flethods to develop approached and methods of gral) are presented and a disciplinary backgrothe complex concepts of consists of a series of the winter term. Students	ree courses (SD I-III), going over two terms, always who want to start with SD II are welcome, as they	
	offer a chance for "senior students" to train their knowledge from the winter term in peer			
	learning constellations.			
Central topics	1) Sustainability: History of idea and concepts; 2) Disciplinarity: working in multi-, interand transdisciplinary environments and contexts; 3) Disciplinary perspectives on growth, development and self-fulfillment: ecology, economy, educational sciences; 4) Disciplinary perspectives on norms, rules and patterns: jurisprudence, cultural sciences and sociology; 5) System theory: approaches, system-performance, models; 6) Elaboration of a transdisciplinary research project (field of practice, research question, research design, methods, implementation, presentation).			
Learning goals	1) Theoretical and technical approaches towards SD of different disciplines; 2)			
_cag gcas	Identification of contradictions and aporetic conflicts related to SD; 3) Applying different methods of inter- and transdisciplinary research.; 4) Being able to formulate an interdisciplinary research question (course SD I) and to answer this research question by specifying a practical field and applying adequate research methods (course SD II)			
Didactic	The concept is characterized by the following elements: 1) Concentric structure of			
approaches	lecturers: guiding team, core-team, enlarged team, partners from practical settings; 2)			
approacties	High diversity of input from different scientific disciplines and technical fields; 3) Concrete			
	field of application: topic, actor group-oriented transfer product; 4) Learning progress and			
		evaluation: logbook, essay on a research question, seminar paper.		
Formats of	1.) Different presentation formats, e.g., "conventional" lectures, PechaKucha, presentation			
teaching and	of summarie	s; presenting current res	search of teachers 2.) Highly interactive formats for	
teaching and learning	of summarie exchange, e.	s; presenting current res g., group work, mental o	search of teachers 2.) Highly interactive formats for connections, speed-dating, open space, world café;	
_	of summarie exchange, e. 3.) Software	s; presenting current res g., group work, mental of for simulation and visu	search of teachers 2.) Highly interactive formats for connections, speed-dating, open space, world café; ualisation, e.g., i-generator, simulation models; 4.)	
-	of summarie exchange, e. 3.) Software	s; presenting current res g., group work, mental of for simulation and visu	search of teachers 2.) Highly interactive formats for connections, speed-dating, open space, world café;	
_	of summarie exchange, e. 3.) Software	s; presenting current res g., group work, mental of for simulation and visu	search of teachers 2.) Highly interactive formats for connections, speed-dating, open space, world café; ualisation, e.g., i-generator, simulation models; 4.)	
learning	of summarie exchange, e. 3.) Software Experimental	s; presenting current res g., group work, mental of for simulation and visu l formats, e.g., peripateti	search of teachers 2.) Highly interactive formats for connections, speed-dating, open space, world café; ualisation, e.g., i-generator, simulation models; 4.) c exercise, expedition, translocation. SD-Concepts, perspectives from different disciplines SD-Research and implementation methods in	
learning Winter term	of summarie exchange, e. 3.) Software Experimental ECTS: 6	s; presenting current res g., group work, mental of for simulation and visu l formats, e.g., peripateti Semester hours: 3	search of teachers 2.) Highly interactive formats for connections, speed-dating, open space, world café; ualisation, e.g., i-generator, simulation models; 4.) c exercise, expedition, translocation. SD-Concepts, perspectives from different disciplines	

theory that the organisation is sensitive to creative networking (Grossmann et al., 2007). Hierarchies at universities have to be seriously considered, and the support of top-level staff is crucial. However, awareness can be raised through effective publicity (e.g., media presentations, etc.).

The contradiction of societal normativity and scientific rationality - Societal requirements placed on universities

The central question, "How can SD be brought into existence at the university and not just be reduced to lip service?" is closely related to the question of how the collective process of decision-making takes place within the university. At the same time, societal demands on all universities are increasing. Societal influence can, therefore, be helpful for the implementation of SD by stimulating the university to meet these requirements and expectations.

The contradiction between mandatory curricula and elective - A certificate to overcome the ECTS-corset

The teaching team implemented a "Sustainable Development" certificate which requires 20 ECTs, 12 ECTs coming from the elective described in this article and 8 ECTs from a pool of courses that deal with sustainability from different perspectives across all faculties. With this certificate, studying sustainability has become more visible and attractive to students.

Conclusion

The development and implementation of the elective "Sustainable Development" at the University of Klagenfurt is an attempt to leverage the innovative potential of the SD discourse. This potential does not only cover the conceptual and theoretical dimension but also organisational challenges of development in accordance with new governance and the advancement of teaching (and research) cultures at the university. The implementation process of this elective, based on a new type of cooperation among lecturers from all four faculties at the university, turned out to be a challenging intervention, not simply an innovation at the course level. In order to implement SD as a regulative idea across the university as a whole, faculty boundaries must be transcended on both management and structural levels (Huebner et al., 2014).

References

- Fischer, D., Aubrecht, E.L., Brück, M., Ditges, L., Gathen, L., Jahns, M., Petersmann, M., & Rau, J. (2015). UN Global action programme and education for sustainable development: A critical appraisal of the evidence base. *Discourse and Communication for Sustainable Education*, 6, 5–20.
- Grossmann, R., Lobnig, H., & Scala, K. (2007). Kooperationen im Public Management. Theorie und Praxis erfolgreicher Organisationsentwicklung in Leistungsverbünden, Netzwerken und Fusionen [Public Management Cooperations. Theory and practice of successful organizational development in service groups, networks and mergers]. Weinheim: Juventa.
- Hoggan, C., Mälkki, K., & Finnegan, F. (2017). Developing the theory of perspective transformation: Continuity, intersubjectivity, and emancipatory praxis. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 67(1), 48–64.

- Hübner, R. (2012). Nachhaltigkeitskommunikation reloaded. Aporien als Lernchancen für gemeinsames Entwickeln fundamental anderer Handlungsmuster [Sustainability communication reloaded. Aporias as learning opportunities for developing fundamentally different patterns of action together]. GALA, 21(4), 262–265.
- Hübner, R., Rauch, F., & Dulle, M. (2014). Implementing an interfaculty elective "Sustainable development": An intervention into a university's culture between organised scientific rationality and normative claim. In K. Thomas, & H. Muga (Eds), *Handbook of research on pedagogical innovations for sustainable development* (pp. 510-522). Hershey: IGI Global.
- Hübner, R., Weber, M., Lindenthal, T., & Rauch, F. (2020). Für Nachhaltigkeit bilden? Bildung für Nachhaltige Entwicklung für Hochschullehrende an Universitäten in Österreich [Educate for sustainability? Education for sustainable development for university lecturers at universities in Austria]. *GAIA*, 29(1), 70–72.
- Jungmeier, M., Rauch, F., Hübner, R., & Elmenreich, W. (2016). Aporetische Konflikte Lernpotenziale im Bereich Bildung für nachhaltige Entwicklung. Illustrationen am Beispiel nachhaltiger Regionalentwicklung [Aporetic conflicts - learning potential in the field of education for sustainable development. Illustrations using the example of sustainable regional development]. Journal of Science-Society Interfaces, 1(1), 57–63.
- Kant, I. (1787/1956). Kritik der reinen Vernunft [Critique of pure reason]. Hamburg: Felix Meiner.
- Mayring, P. (2014). Qualitative content analysis: theoretical foundation, basic procedures and software solution. Klagenfurt. https://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-395173
- Mezirow. J. (Ed.) (1990). Fostering critical reflection in adulthood: A guide to transformative and emancipatory learning. San Francisco: Jossey Bass.
- Minsch, J. (2004). Gedanken zu einer politischen Kultur der Nachhaltigkeit. Aufbruch in vielen Dimensionen [Thoughts on a political culture of sustainability. Departure in many dimensions]. In F. Radits, M. Braunsteiner, & K. Klement (Eds.), Bildung für eine Nachhaltige Entwicklung in der LehrerInnenbildung (pp. 10-18). Baden: Teacher Education College Baden.
- Ossimitz, G., & Lapp, C. (2006). Das Metanoia-Prinzip. Eine Einführung in systemgerechtes Denken und Handeln [The Metanoia Principle. An introduction to system-oriented thinking and acting]. Hildesheim: Franzbecker.
- Meijuni, O. (2017). Sustaining collective transformative learning: Informal learning and revisions. In A. Laros, T. Fuhr, & E. W. Taylor (Eds.), *Transformative learning meets Bildung: An international exchange* (pp. 205-216). Rotterdam: Sense.
- Rauch, F. (2004). Education for sustainability: A regulative idea and trigger for innovation. In W. Scott, & S. Gough (Eds.), *Key issues in sustainable development and learning: A critical review* (pp. 149-151). London: Routledge Falmer.
- Rauch, F. (2015). Education for sustainable development and chemistry education. In V. Zuin, & L. Mammino (Eds.), Worldwide trends in green chemistry education (pp. 16-26). Cambridge: RSC.
- Rauch, F., & Steiner, R. (2013). Competences for education for sustainable development in teacher Education. CEPS Journal, 3(1), 9–24.
- Schneidewind, U. (2009). Nachhaltige Wissenschaft. Plädoyer für einen Klimavandel im deutschen Wissenschafts- und Hochschulsystem [Sustainable science. Plea for climate change in the German science and university system]. Marburg: Metropolis.

Singer-Brodowski, M. (2016). Transformative Bildung durch transformatives Lernen. Zur Notwendigkeit der erziehungswissenschaftlichen Fundierung einer neuen Idee [Transformative education through transformative learning. On the need for a new idea to be based on educational science]. *ZEP*, *39*(1), 13–17.

UNESCO (2017). Education for sustainable development goals: Learning objectives. Paris: UNESCO. UN (2015). Transforming our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. New York: United Nations.

